Interventions for increasing the proportion of health professionals practising in rural and other underserved areas (Review)

Grobler L, Marais BJ, Mabunda SA, Marindi PN, Reuter H, Volmink J



This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2009, Issue 2

http://www.thecochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	2
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	3
RESULTS	6
DISCUSSION	6
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	12
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	13
REFERENCES	13
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	15
DATA AND ANALYSES	17
APPENDICES	17
WHAT'S NEW	24
	24
	24
	24
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	25
INDEX TERMS	25

[Intervention Review]

Interventions for increasing the proportion of health professionals practising in rural and other underserved areas

Liesl Grobler¹, Ben J Marais², SA Mabunda³, PN Marindi³, Helmuth Reuter², Jimmy Volmink²

¹Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine (IIDMM), University of Cape Town, Observatory, South Africa. ²Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Stellenbosch, Tygerberg, South Africa. ³Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Observatory, South Africa

Contact address: Liesl Grobler, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine (IIDMM), University of Cape Town, Faculty of Health Sciences, Anzio Road, Observatory, Western Province, 7925, South Africa. liesl.grobler@uct.ac.za.

Editorial group: Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group.

Publication status and date: Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 2, 2009.

Review content assessed as up-to-date: 17 September 2007.

Citation: Grobler L, Marais BJ, Mabunda SA, Marindi PN, Reuter H, Volmink J. Interventions for increasing the proportion of health professionals practising in rural and other underserved areas. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD005314. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005314.pub2.

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

The inequitable distribution of health professionals, within and between countries, poses an important obstacle to the achievement of optimal attainable health for all.

Objectives

To assess the effectiveness of interventions aimed at increasing the proportion of health professionals working in rural and other underserved areas.

Search strategy

We searched the specialised register of the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group (up to July 2007), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) and the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (up to July 2007), MEDLINE (1966 to July 2007), EMBASE (1988 to July 2007), CINAHL (1982 to July 2007) and LILACS (up to July 2007). We also searched reference lists of all papers and relevant reviews identified, and contacted authors of relevant papers regarding any further published or unpublished work.

Selection criteria

Randomised controlled trials, controlled trials (not strictly randomised), controlled before-after studies and interrupted time series studies evaluating the effects of various interventions (e.g. educational, financial or regulatory strategies) on the recruitment and/or retention of health professionals in under-served areas.

Data collection and analysis

Two reviewers independently screened titles and abstracts obtained from the search in order to identify potentially relevant studies.

Main results

No studies met the inclusion criteria.

Authors' conclusions

There are no studies in which bias and confounding are minimised to support any of the interventions that have been implemented to address the inequitable distribution of health care professionals. Well-designed studies are needed to confirm or refute findings of various observational studies regarding educational, financial, regulatory and supportive interventions that may influence health care professionals' choice to practice in underserved areas. Governments and educators should ensure that where interventions are implemented this is done within the context of a well-planned study so that the true effects of these measures on recruitment and long term retention can be determined in various settings.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Strategies to increase the proportion of health care professionals practising in rural and other underserved areas

In almost all countries around the world, there are fewer health care professionals working in rural and urban underserved areas. In urban areas in Australia, there is one doctor for every 970 people, but in rural areas there is one for every 1328 people. In the United States of America, only 9% of physicians practice in rural areas where 20% of the population live. In low- and middle-income countries the shortage is even greater. This shortage makes it particularly difficult for people in underserved areas to access health services and be healthy.

While some health care professionals choose to work in underserved areas, they are a small minority and strategies are needed to persuade more to do so. A variety of strategies have been adopted including educational, financial, regulatory and supportive strategies. For example, some medical schools try to recruit more people from rural areas in the hope that they will return to those areas to practice. Scholarships, grants, loan repayment schemes, and higher salaries are offered to professionals working in underserved areas. A number of countries have made it mandatory for health care professionals to work for a period of time in underserved areas.

While some of these strategies have shown promise, this review found no well-designed studies to say whether any of these strategies are effective or not. Rigorous studies are needed to evaluate the true effect of these strategies to increase the number of health care professionals working in underserved areas.

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

The World Health Organisation's Health for All policy states that enjoyment of the highest attainable level of health is a fundamental human right to which all citizens are entitled (Strasser 2003; WHR 2003). The shortage of health care professionals in rural and urban under-served areas, in almost all countries around the globe, is an important obstacle to the achievement of this goal and restricts the attainment of the Millenium Development Goals adopted by the United Nations in 2000 (WHR 2003; Dussault 2006).

The absence of well-functioning health services in many parts of the world is partly a result of insufficient numbers of health professionals, but is to a greater extent related to the inequitable distribution of health professionals at a national and international level (Stratton 1995). For example, in the United States of America, only 9% of registered physicians practice in rural areas where

20% of the population live (Ricketts 2000). In rural areas of Australia, the average doctor:patient ratio was reported to be 1:1328, compared to the urban average of 1:970 (Laven 2003a). In lowand middle-income countries inequities in health care service provision are even greater. For instance, in 1996, 46% of the South African population lived in rural areas (Statistics SA 1996) and were served by only 27% of general practitioners; 25% of medical specialists; 7% of dentists; and 6% of psychologists (van Rensburg 1999). In Ghana in 1997, 87% of general physicians worked in urban areas serving only 44% of the population (Dussault 2006). Statistics from Ecuador in 1991 reveal that one doctor was responsible for the care of approximately 3226 people (Cavender 1998). The inequitable distribution of health professionals between countries is also of concern as a high proportion of health workers from economically less developed countries continue to migrate to richer countries (Mullan 2005). Thirty-seven percent of the world's health workers work in the WHO Region of the Americas, which accounts for only 10% of the global burden of disease. The WHO African Region, with 24% of the global burden of disease, is served by only 3% of the world's health workers. Furthermore, the African continent, with the highest global disease burden, has the lowest density of health professionals (2.3 health professionals per 1000 population) and spends the lowest proportion (29.5%) of government health expenditure on health worker salaries (WHR 2006).

Possible factors contributing to the reduced number of health care professionals in rural and urban underserved areas include demanding working conditions, substandard medical equipment and facilities, inadequate financial remuneration, inadequate opportunities for personal and professional growth, safety concerns, and lack of job opportunities for spouse and educational opportunities for children (Lehman 2008). It has been suggested that a country's ability to recruit and retain health care professionals in underserved areas ultimately depends upon the provision of a stable, rewarding and fulfilling personal and professional environment (Hart 2002), yet the provision of such an environment continues to elude most countries, independent of their income status.

In resource-constrained countries, rural and other underserved areas are generally worst afflicted by problems such as unemployment, poverty, malnutrition, lack of clean drinking water and poor sanitation (Boulle 1997). These factors contribute to the poor health status of people living in these areas (Strasser 2003). The spatial maldistribution of health workers means that those who have the greatest need have the poorest services; thus fulfilling Hart's "inverse care law" (Hart 1971). Recent literature from sub-Saharan Africa shows that treatment of patients with HIV/AIDS has been severely hampered not merely by the lack of financial resources or medical supplies but by the shortage of health care personnel needed to deliver the treatment (WHR 2003). In Uganda, lack of skilled staff at the primary care level is one of the reasons why pregnant women do not utilise community maternity services, but resort to traditional birthing practices, which result in higher rates of maternal mortality (Kyomuhendo 2003).

Description of the intervention

While some health care professionals choose to work in underserved areas, they remain a small minority and additional strategies are required to persuade more to do so. These strategies can generally be grouped into educational, financial, regulatory and supportive strategies. Based on observational studies that have reported that health care professionals originating from rural areas are more likely to practise in a rural health care environment (Rabinowitz 1993; Rabinowitz 1998; Stearns 2000), the selection criteria of medical schools and universities have, in some instances, been modified to ensure the recruitment of greater numbers of individuals from rural areas. Financial, cultural and academic support is provided during their medical training in the hope that

once qualified they will return to work in rural areas (Crandall 1990; Rabinowitz 2000).

Some medical school curricula specifically involve greater exposure of undergraduate students to underserved areas (Moores 1998) and concentrate on the development of health care skills relevant to these areas (Rabinowitz 1999). Both these factors are believed to influence the choice of health care professionals to practise in rural or under-served areas once qualified (Brooks 2002; Rabinowitz 2001; Tavernier 2003).

Financial mechanisms that have been implemented to reduce inequitable distribution patterns include scholarships and loan repayment schemes, which are linked to service obligations in underserved areas (Pathman 2000; Scammon 1994). In addition, higher salaries for individuals working in the public health sector as well as rural allowances (Reid 2001) and retention grants (Humphreys 2001) have been introduced in some countries, but the effectiveness of these interventions has not been evaluated. A number of countries have instituted a mandatory period of service in underserved areas in an attempt to distribute health professionals in a more equitable manner (Cavender 1998; Ezenwa 1986; Fadayomi 1984; Reid 2001). Many wealthy countries attempt to address the problem by simply recruiting foreign health care professionals from poorer countries to work in underserved areas (Kiesouw 1996; Reid 2001), ignoring the negative impact on health services in their country of origin.

Why it is important to do this review

Given the wide array of strategies that have been adopted to influence locality of practice, it is important for educationalists and policy makers to be aware of scientific evidence that supports the effectiveness and impact of various interventions.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the effectiveness of interventions aimed at increasing the proportion of health care professionals working in rural and other underserved areas.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomised controlled trials, controlled trials (not strictly randomised), controlled before-after studies and interrupted time series studies that have evaluated the effects of various interventions

on at least one of the outcomes listed below. Studies with historical controls and those that did not include a control or comparison group were not considered.

Types of participants

All qualified health care professionals, for example: doctors (general practitioners and specialists), nurses, occupational therapists, physiotherapists, speech and hearing therapists, pharmacists, dieticians, clinical psychologists and dentists.

Types of interventions

Educational interventions (e.g. student selection criteria, undergraduate and postgraduate teaching curricula, exposure to rural and urban underserved areas), financial interventions (e.g. undergraduate and postgraduate bursaries/scholarships linked to future practice location, rural allowances, increased public sector salaries), regulatory strategies (e.g. compulsory community service, relaxing work regulations imposed on foreign medical graduates who are willing to work in rural or urban underserved areas) and support strategies (providing adequate professional support and attending to the needs of the practitioners family). We planned to include studies that compared one of the above strategies with either no intervention or an alternative strategy as a control.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- The proportion of health care professionals who initially choose to work in rural or urban underserved areas as a consequence of being exposed to the intervention (recruitment).
- The proportion of health care professionals who continue to work in rural or urban underserved areas as a consequence of the intervention (retention).

There are no internationally agreed definitions for what constitutes "rural underserved" and "urban underserved" areas. These terms tend to be relative and their meaning will vary from country to country. Thus, for each study, we intended to accept the definitions as provided by the authors, recording their particular use in the "table of included studies."

Secondary outcomes

- Patient satisfaction with care provided.
- Impact on health status of patients.

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

- (1) The EPOC Register (and the database of studies awaiting assessment, up to June 2007)
- (2) The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) and the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (up to June 2007)
- (3) MEDLINE (1966 to June 2007), EMBASE (1988 to June 2007), CINAHL (1982 to June 2007) and LILACS (up to June 2007)

Search strategies for electronic databases were developed using the methodological component of the EPOC search strategy combined with selected MeSH terms and free text terms. Appendix 1 shows the terms used in the MEDLINE search strategy. We translated this search strategy into the other databases using the appropriate controlled vocabulary as applicable (Appendix 2; Appendix 3; Appendix 4; Appendix 5; Appendix 6).

Searching other resources

- (4) Searched reference lists of all papers and relevant reviews identified
- (5) Contacted authors of relevant papers regarding any further published or unpublished work

Data collection and analysis

Data extraction and management

Two reviewers (LG and PM or SM) independently screened the titles and abstracts of all articles obtained from the search. Full copies of all reports deemed eligible by either of the reviewers were retrieved for closer inspection. No study met the inclusion criteria set out in the protocol of this review. The methods set out in Table 1 will be applied to any trials that meet the stipulated criteria in the future.

Table 1. Review Methods

Study Selection	Two reviewers (LG and PM or SM) will screen the titles and abstracts of all articles obtained from the search. They will independently apply the above selection criteria to determine which studies should be included or excluded. Full copies of all reports deemed eligible by either of the reviewers will be retrieved for closer inspection. Studies that appear to meet the inclusion criteria on the first screening but are later deemed unsuitable for inclusion will be listed in the "table for excluded studies", together with the reasons for their exclusion. Disagreement between the two reviewers will be resolved through discussion with a third reviewer (JV).
Assessment of methodological quality	The Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group's (EPOC) quality checklists for randomised controlled trials (RCT), controlled trials, interrupted time series (ITS) and controlled before-after (CBA) trials will be used to assess all eligible studies. These checklists account for study design, method of randomisation, characteristics of control groups, method of data collection, confounding factors, appropriate statistical methods, selection of outcome variables and risk of bias.
Data extraction	One reviewer (LG) will extract the data and the process will be independently cross-checked and confirmed by a second reviewer (PM or SM). A data extraction form based on those used by the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) review group, but modified for this review, will be used. Data regarding study design, description of the intervention (including process), details about participants (including number in each group), length of intervention and follow-up, and the proportion of health care professionals who choose to work in rural or urban under-served areas. Consensus will be reached by discussion and consultation with a third reviewer, if necessary.
Data analysis	We will analyse the studies separately depending on whether the intervention evaluated was aimed at the initial recruitment or subsequent retention of health professionals. RCTs, CCTs, CBAs Pre-intervention and post-intervention proportions will be reported for both study and control groups. The absolute change from baseline from baseline will be calculated for each group. We will analyse data using the Review Manager (version 4.1). We will pool estimates of effect using relative risk for binary data and weighted mean differences for continuous data. For continuous outcome data that is expressed in different units, we will calculate standardised mean differences. Interrupted time series (ITS) We will calculate relative and absolute mean difference in before and after values. When possible, we will use time series regression analyses to calculate mean change in level and mean change in slope. Meta-analysis Where possible we will conduct a meta analysis on the combined results of homogeneous studies using a random effects model. Sub-group analyses and sensitivity analyses We anticipate significant heterogeneity in study findings related to differences in the intervention type, type of health professional, study setting (rural vs urban underserved), study design and methodological quality. We plan to conduct subgroup analyses based upon: Type of intervention (educational, financial, regulatory) Type of health professional (doctor, dentist, nurse, allied health professional)

Table 1. Review Methods (Continued)

Country income level (high, middle, low)
In order to determine how robust and consistent the results are, sensitivity analyses will be conducted based upon study design (RCT vs other) or risk of bias in study (high, medium, low - according to the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group's (EPOC) quality checklists).

experts in the field. The reasons for excluding these studies from the review are stipulated in the Characteristics of excluded studies.

RESULTS

Description of studies

See: Characteristics of excluded studies. Not applicable.

Risk of bias in included studies

Not applicable.

Effects of interventions

A total of 1844 titles and abstracts were inspected for potentially relevant studies. After close inspection of the abstracts, none of the articles met with the inclusion criteria of this systematic review. Four quasi-randomised controlled trials, one controlled trial and one interrupted time series study were brought to our attention by

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

The main finding of this review is that there are currently no well-designed studies in which bias and confounding are minimised to support any of the numerous interventions that have been implemented to address the shortage of health care professionals practicing in underserved areas. Based on assumptions or findings of mostly observational studies policy makers and educators have introduced various strategies aimed at reducing the maldistribution of health care professionals, but the short and/or long term impact of these interventions remains poorly quantified. Our search strategy identified a number of these studies and we decided to provide a brief narrative overview of their main findings below, with further expansion and comment in Table 2.

Table 2. Examples of current strategies to address the maldistribution of health professionals

Strategy			Hypothesis	Comments
Educational	Student selection	Geographic origin	gin are more likely to	That students with a rural origin are more likely to practice in a rural setting is a consistent finding in several observational studies. It appears to be the single factor most strongly associated with rural practice. Some studies have found that the spouse's background is as important as that of the health professional as a predictor of practice location.

Table 2. Examples of current strategies to address the maldistribution of health professionals (Continued)

	Ethnicity	served" populations are	Students from "under- served" populations are more likely to subse- quently practice in these areas has been docu- mented in a study in an inner-city population in the US.
	Gender	Men are more likely to practice rural medicine than women	Men are more likely to practice rural medicine than women is a consistent finding in several observational studies. This finding may change if more accommodating conditions are created for women in these areas. It is important to have female rural doctors in culturally sensitive settings.
	Career intent	Students whose intent at study entry is to practice rural medicine are more likely to do so	Students who expressed an intention at study entry to practice rural medicine found independently predict rural practice in the Physician Shortage Areas Program, but 60% of rural doctors in the US reported no such career intention initially
	Service orientation	volve-	In a study conducted at the University of North Carolina it was observed that the students who report involvement in volunteer activities were more likely to become generalists; however, this did not necessarily translate to them practicing in rural areas.

Table 2. Examples of current strategies to address the maldistribution of health professionals (Continued)

Under/post graduate training exposure and lo- cation	Undergraduate curriculum content	tance of rural health issues influences medical	Exposing undergraduate students to health care in underserved areas is important to their overall training and development; it would an added bonus if it is found to encourage them to work in an underserved area subsequently.
	Undergraduate rural exposure	Clinical rotation in a rural setting influences medical students to con- sider rural practice	Some observational studies have shown that actual clinical exposure (immersion) may be important, although the perceived impact of rural rotations may be biased by self-selection (i.e. those students who do rural rotations would have chosen to do so prior to the intervention)
	Postgraduate generalist fellowships	The availability of generalist fellowships encourages more doctors to enter rural practice Undergraduate students from medical schools that offer generalist fellowships are more likely to become rural doctors	Rural health specialists and family physicians may be more likely to enter rural practice, but there is no evidence that the creation/availability of these specialities actu- ally reduces the rural ur- ban maldistribution
	Location	schools located in rural	There is limited but consistent evidence that rural medical schools produce more rural doctors. However, rural placement may be a surrogate of various other factors, such as rural origin or likelihood to marry someone of rural origin. The establishment of more rural medical schools or satellite

Table 2. Examples of current strategies to address the maldistribution of health professionals (Continued)

			campuses warrant further evaluation.
Financial incentives	Bursaries / Scholarships	Providing schol- arships with enforceable rural service agreements encourages rural practice	This particular intervention has shown variable success in different countries. Furthermore, these strategies are dependent on the availability of funds to provide scholarships and rural allowances to health professionals working in underserved areas. The long term benefit and cost effectiveness of these strategies requires further investigation.
	Financial compensation	Providing direct financial incentives encourages rural practice	In Canada allowing higher fees in rural areas is believed to have had a positive influence on general practitioner distribution. Reports from low- and middle-income countries have also been positive. The sustainability of these strategies is dependent on the availability of funds to provide scholarships and rural allowances to health professionals working in underserved areas and the long term benefit and cost effectiveness of these strategies requires further investigation.
Regulatory measures	Registration requirement	qualified doctors per- form "community ser- vice" in underserved ar-	Forced "community service" addresses short term recruitment, but there is concern that it may alienate people from long term rural practice. This strategy should be adopted with great

Table 2. Examples of current strategies to address the maldistribution of health professionals (Continued)

		caution as newly grad- uated medical students are often ill equipped to deal with the lack of professional and per- sonal support in under- served areas. Some may be able to cope but in the absence of ade- quate guidance and sup- port many have negative experiences that alienate them from future rural practice.
Pre-requisite for special- ization	Requiring that doctors spend a minimum number of years in an underserved area before allowing them to specialize will reduce maldistribution	This intervention has been applied in a number of low- and middle-income countries. It has been criticized in Indonesiafor attracting the "wrong type" of doctor to rural areas and for reducing the return on investment placed in specialized training.
International recruitment	Recruiting foreign doctors and limiting them to rural practice will address staff shortages in these areas	Recruitment of foreign doctors is widely practiced. It does offer a short term solution to importing countries, but often initiates a domino effect in exporting countries, which may worsen global distribution patterns. Long term retention of foreign doctors in underserved areas remains poor. There is, however, a need to respect the right of health professionals to enter the global labour market like any other professional; failure to do so may have a negative impact on those considering health care as a profession.

Table 2. Examples of current strategies to address the maldistribution of health professionals (Continued)

Professional and personal support	Professional support	Providing sufficient professional support encourages rural practice	There is some evidence from questionnaire-based surveys supporting this hypothesis. Health professionals need continuous professional stimulation. Attendance at conferences and supporting local academic activities may assist them to keep up to date with new medical developments.
	Personal support	Providing sufficient personal support encourages rural practice	There is some evidence from questionnaire-based surveys which supports this intervention. It is self-evident that providing personal support such as accommodation, meeting the educational needs of children etc is important.

Educational strategies

Student selection

Based on evidence from retrospective studies, it is believed that male students with a rural background who intend to practice rural medicine upon entry to medical school are more likely to be recruited to and retained in a rural practice (Rabinowitz 1999; Rabinowitz 2000; Rabinowitz 2001; Western 2000; Woloschuk 2002; Woloschuk 2004). A review of this topic found that rural background was associated with rural practice in 10 of the 12 case-control or retrospective cohort studies assessed (Laven 2003b). While the well known problems of bias and confounding common to all observational studies may limit the validity of these findings, the consistency of the study results have convinced some medical schools to alter their selection criteria for medical students accordingly.

Furthermore, special primary care and rural health programs have been implemented at a number of medical schools. These programs involve special admission for students from rural backgrounds who express an interest in practicing family medicine in a rural area, as well as special curricular components and financial aid. A number of observational studies have shown an association between these programs and an increase in the number of physicians entering rural practice (Brooks 2002; Moores 1998; Rabinowitz 1999). Furthermore, a recent study showed that a significantly greater number of graduates of the Jefferson Medical College Physician Shortage Area Program (PSAP) were still practicing family medicine in the same rural area more than a decade after graduating compared with graduates who were not exposed to this program (Rabinowitz 2005).

Under/postgraduate training exposure and location

Studies investigating the effect of rural clerkships/rotations and preceptorships in underserved areas often have "change in student attitudes towards rural practice" or "intention to practice rural" as their outcome measures. While these outcomes may be seen as proxies of recruitment to rural practice, such outcomes do not reflect actual practice location upon graduation. Such outcomes may also be subject to information bias in that the participants may tell the interviewer what the participant thinks the interviewer wants to hear.

A further proxy for practice in an underserved area used in some studies is choice of a primary care or family practice specialty. The impact of a third year family practice clerkship on medical students' choice of family practice as a specialty was investigated in a controlled, longitudinal study (Campos-Outcalt 1999). Graduates' family practice specialty choice was noted for three years before and after the introduction of the clerkship. Family practice specialty choice of graduates from schools that did not have a third year family practice clerkship was used as the control data. There was a significant increase in the number of graduates who chose family practice as a specialty in the three years following the introduction of the clerkship (Campos-Outcalt 1999). In four quasirandomised controlled trials, medical students who were exposed to an undergraduate primary care clerkship or curriculum track were more likely to choose family practice residencies (Erney 1991; Harris 1982; Herold 1993; Rosenblatt 1979). These studies suffer from the limitation that choice of a primary care or family practice specialty may not equate with practice in rural or underserved areas in all settings.

Between 1979 and 1993 the University of New Mexico School of Medicine offered two different curricular programs - a conventional program and a primary care curriculum (a community-oriented, problem-based program) - during the first two years of medical school. A survey investigating the effect of the primary care curriculum on graduates practice patterns reported that primary care curriculum graduates were significantly more likely to work in medically underserved areas than the conventional program graduates (Mennin 1996). As medical students in this study self-selected their programmes it is not possible to disentangle the effect of the curricular exposure from that of students' prior characteristics.

The Australian government has invested in the establishment of university departments of rural health and rural clinical schools in certain provinces (Wilkinson 2004). A time series analysis evaluated the impact of clinical rotations through rural clinical schools during the third and fourth years of medical training at the University of Queensland. Following the establishment of the rural clinical schools, the number of medical students selecting rural regional hospitals as their preferred site for internship increased; whereas the number of students requesting rural intern employment at institutions without a rural clinical school remained the same during this time period (Wilkinson 2004). It is not known to what extent choice of a rural internship predicts future practice in a rural location.

Evidence from retrospective cohort studies suggests that faculty role models influenced physicians' choice to specialize in family medicine (Campos-Outcalt 1995). However, two prospective controlled observational studies failed to show an effect of instruction by family physician faculty on the students' decision to follow a family practice residency (Allen 1987; Beasley 1993).

Financial incentives

Government bodies attempt to encourage health care professionals to practice in underserved areas through various financial supportfor-service programs (Pathman 1994; Pathman 2004). Evidence regarding the impact of these programs on the recruitment and retention of health care professionals in underserved areas is based on descriptive, cross sectional surveys (Jackson 2003; Pathman 2004) and retrospective cohort studies (Pathman 1992). In one study, obligated physicians were more likely to serve in smaller rural areas compared to non-obligated physicians. Loan repayment, direct incentive and resident-support programs had the highest service completion rates and physician retention rates (Pathman 2004).

Some governments have introduced additional rural allowances in an effort to attract and retain health care professionals in these underserved areas. The effect of rural allowances on the distribution of health professionals is being monitored and evaluated by questionnaire-based surveys (Reid 2004).

Regulatory strategies

A number of coercive strategies, such as compulsory community service and restricting recruited foreign doctors to rural practice, have been adopted by governments in an attempt to address the shortage of health professionals in medically underserved areas. Compulsory community service has been adopted by countries such as Russia, Mexico, Ecuador, Bolivia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, South Africa and Nigeria. The effectiveness of this strategy has been assessed by descriptive surveys and the results are inconclusive (Cavender 1998; Reid 2001). Although widely practiced, the effect of recruiting foreign doctors to work in underserved areas on the distribution of health professionals, and consequent provision of health services, has not been thoroughly assessed. Coercive strategies may provide short term solutions; however, there is concern that these strategies will alienate people from the profession and underserved areas in particular and thus prove counterproductive.

Professional and personal support

Questionnaire-based surveys suggest that professional and personal support may also influence health professionals' choice to work in underserved areas. Professional development, ongoing training and style of health service management were important factors influencing retention of health professionals in underserved areas (Kotzee 2006).

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Despite the absence of reliable evidence, governments and medical schools have implemented numerous strategies aimed at addressing the shortage of health care professionals practicing in underserved areas. Rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness of various strategies is required to determine the true impact of these interventions and to better inform future policy. Inequitable distribution of health care professionals in underserved areas is likely to be a result of multiple factors with complex interactions which may vary across different settings. Interventions will therefore have to be appropriately tailored to meet various needs.

the establishment of university departments and/or teaching clinics in rural areas, rural and scarce skills allowances and enhanced professional and personal support. However, these findings await confirmation or refutation by means of well-designed studies in which bias and confounding are minimized. Future studies should also take into account the different contexts and settings in which the inequitable distribution of health care professionals occur, for example, the type of health care professional, the presiding cultural expectations and social pressures, the type of intervention, the location of the underserved community (i.e. rural versus urban) and the income or development status of the country.

Implications for research

Observational studies suggest that a number of factors related to student selection criteria, undergraduate and postgraduate training, financial incentives, regulatory measures and personal and professional support may influence health care professionals' choice to practice in underserved areas. Strategies that have shown promise include selection of students with a rural background,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the members of the Collaboration for Health Equity through Education and Research (CHEER), South Africa, especially Professors Steve Reid and Ian Couper, for their valuable input during the preparation of this review.

REFERENCES

References to studies excluded from this review

Erney 1991 {published data only}

Erney SL, Allen DL, Siska KF. Effect of a year long primary care clerkship on graduates' selection of family practice residencies. *Academic Medicine* 1991;**66**:234–6.

Harris 1982 {published data only}

Harris DL, Coleman M, Mallea M. Impact of participation in a family practice track program on student career decision. *Journal of Medical Education* 1982;**57**:609–14.

Herold 1993 {published data only}

Herold AH, Woodard LJ, Pamies RJ, Roetzheim RG, van Durme DJ, Micceri T. Influence of longitudinal primary care teaching on medical students' specialty choices. *Academic Medicine* 1993;**68**: 281–4.

Mennin 1996 {published data only}

Mennin SP, Kalishman S, Friedman M, Pathak D, Snyder J. A survey of graduates in practice from the University of New Mexico's conventional and community-oriented, problem-based tracks. *Academic Medicine* 1996;**71**:1079–89.

Rosenblatt 1979 {published data only}

Rosenblatt RA, Alpert JJ. The effect of a course in family medicine on future career choice: a long-range follow-up of a controlled experiment in medical education. *Journal of Family Practice* 1979;**8** (1):87–91.

Wilkinson 2004 {published data only}

Wilkinson D, Birks J, Davies L, Margolis S, Baker P. Preliminary evidence from Queensland that rural clinical schools have a positive impact on rural intern choices. *Rural and Remote Health* 2004;**4**: 340 (online).

Additional references

Allen 1987

Allen SS, Sherman MB, Bland CJ, Fiola JA. Effect of early exposure to family medicine on students' attitudes towards the specialty. *Journal of Medical Education* 1987;**62**(11):911–7.

Beasley 1993

Beasley JW. Does teaching by family physicians in the second year of medical school increase student selection of family practice residencies?. *Journal of Family Medicine* 1993;**25**(3):174–5.

Boulle 1997

Boulle A. Rural health care and rural poverty - inextricably linked - policy in progress. *Health Systems Trust* Update 1997;**28**:6–7.

Brooks 2002

Brooks RG, Walsh M, Mardon RE, Lewis M, Clawson A. The roles of nature and nurture in the recruitment and retention of primary care physicians in rural areas: a review of the literature. *Academic Medicine* 2002;77(8):790–8.

Campos-Outcalt 1995

Campos-Outcalt D, Senf J, Watkins AJ, Bastacky S. The effects of medical school curricula, faculty role models and biomedical research support on choice of generalist physician careers: A review and quality assessment of the literature. *Academic Medicine* 1995; **70**:611–9.

Campos-Outcalt 1999

Campos-Outcalt D, Senf J. A longitudinal, national study of the effect of implementing a required third year family practice clerkship or a department of family medicine on the selection of family medicine by medical students. *Academic Medicine* 1999;74: 1016–20.

Cavender 1998

Cavender A, Alban M. Compulsory medical service in Ecuador: the physician's perspective. *Social Science & Medicine* 1998;**47**(12): 1937–46

Crandall 1990

Crandall LA, Dwyer JW, Duncan RP. Recruitment and retention of rural physicians: issues for the 1990s. *The Journal of Rural Health* 1990;**6**(1):19–38.

Dussault 2006

Dussault G, Franceschini MC. Not enough there, too many here: understanding geographical imbalances in the distribution of the health workforce. *Human Resources for Health* 2006;4:12.

Ezenwa 1986

Ezenwa AO. Health manpower and population change in Nigeria. *Journal of the Royal Society of Health* 1986;**106**(4):143–5.

Fadayomi 1984

Fadayomi TO, Oyeneye OY. The demographic factor in the provision of health facilities in developing countries: the case of Nigeria. *Social Science & Medicine* 1984;**19**(8):793–7.

Hall 2003

Hall, W. Rural Health Policy Debate, District Health Systems and Local Government. Discussion List Summary - Bulletin no. 7. 2003.

Hart 1971

Hart JT. The inverse care law. Lancet 1971;1(7696):405-12.

Hart 2002

Hart LG, Salsberg E, Phillips DM, Lishner DM. Rural health care providers in the United States. *The Journal of Rural Health* 2002; **18**:211–32.

Humphreys 2001

Humphreys JS, Jones JA, Jones MP, Hugo G, Bamford E, Taylor DH. A critical review of rural medical workforce retention in Australia. *Australian Health Review* 2001;**24**(4):91–102.

Jackson 2003

Jackson J, Shannon CK, Pathman DE, Mason E, Nemitz JW. A comparative assessment of West Virginia's financial incentive program for rural physicians. *Journal of Rural Health* 2003;19: 329–39.

Kiesouw 1996

Kiesouw L. From Cuba to Khayelitsha - experiences of a Cuban doctor in South Africa. *South African Medical Journal* 1996;**86**(8): 906–10.

Kotzee 2006

Kotzee TJ, Couper ID. What interventions do South African qualified doctors think will retain them in rural hospitals of the Limpopo province of South Africa?. *Rural Remote Health* 2006;**6** (3):581.

Kyomuhendo 2003

Kyomuhendo GB. Low use of rural maternity services in Uganda: impact of women's status, traditional beliefs and limited resources. *Reproductive Health Matters* 2003;**11**(21):16–26.

Laven 2003a

Laven G, Beilby JJ, Wilkinson D, McElroy HJ. Factors associated with rural practice among Australian-trained general practitioners. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2003;**179**:75–9.

Laven 2003b

Laven G, Wilkinson D. Rural doctors and rural backgrounds: how strong is the evidence? A systematic review. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2003;11(6):277–84.

Lehman 2008

Lehman U, Dieleman M, Martineau T. Staffing remote rural areas in middle- and low-income countries: A literature review of attraction and retention. *BioMed Central Health Services Research* 2008;8:19.

Moores 1998

Moores DG, Woodhead-Lyons SC, Wilson DR. Preparing for rural practice. Enhanced experience for medical students and residents. *Canadian Family Physician* 1998;44:1045–50.

Mullan 2005

Mullan F. The metrics of the physician brain drain. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2005;**353**:1810–18.

Pathman 1992

Pathman DE, Konrad TR, Ricketts TC. The comparative retention of National Health Service Corps and other rural physicians: results of a 9-year follow-up study. *JAMA* 1992;**268**:1552–8.

Pathman 1994

Pathman DE, Konrad TR, Ricketts TC. Medical education and the retention of rural physicians. *Health Services Research* 1994;**29**(1): 39–58.

Pathman 2000

Pathman DE, Konrad TR, King TS, Spaulding C, Taylor DH. Medical training debt and service commitments: the rural consequences. *The Journal of Rural Health* 2000;**16**(3):264–72.

Pathman 2004

Pathman DE, Konrad TR, King TS, Taylor DH, Koch GG. Outcomes of states' scholarships, loan repayment and related programs for physicians. *Medical Care Journal* 2004;**42**:560–8.

Rabinowitz 1993

Rabinowitz HK. Recruitment, retention, and follow-up of graduates of a program to increase the number of family physicians in rural and underserved areas. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1993;**328**(13):934–9.

Rabinowitz 1998

Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Gayle JA, Turner TN, Rosenthal MP. Alternate career choices of medical students and their eventual specialty choice: a follow-up study. *Family Medicine* 1998;**30**(10): 695.

Rabinowitz 1999

Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Hazelwood CE. A program to increase the number of family physicians in rural and underserved areas: impact after 22 years. *JAMA* 1999;**281**(3): 255–60.

Rabinowitz 2000

Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Veloski JJ, Gayle JA. The impact of multiple predictors on generalist physicians' care of underserved populations. *American Journal of Public Health* 2000;**90**(8):1225–8.

Rabinowitz 2001

Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Paynter NP. Critical factors for designing programs to increase the supply and retention of rural primary care physicians. *JAMA* 2001;**286**(9):1041–8.

Rabinowitz 2005

Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Rabinowitz C. Long-term retention of graduates from a program to increase the supply of rural family physicians. *Academic Medicine* 2005;**80**(8):728–32.

Reid 2001

Reid SJ. Compulsory community service for doctors in South Africa--an evaluation of the first year. *South African Medical Journal* 2001;**91**(4):329–36.

Reid 2004

Reid S. Menitoring the effect of the new rural allowance for health professionals. Durban: Health Systems Trust 2004.

Rickette 2000

Ricketts TC, Hart LG, Pirani M. How many rural doctors do we have?. *The Journal of Rural Health* 2000;**16**(3):198–207.

Scammon 1994

Scammon DL, Williams SD, Li LB. Understanding physicians' decisions to practice in rural areas as a basis for developing recruitment and retention strategies. *Journal of Ambulatory Care Marketing* 1994;**5**(2):85–100.

Statistics SA 1996

Statistics South Africa. People of South Africa: Population census, 1996. http://www.statssa.gov.za (accessed 7 January 2005).

Statistics SA 2004

Statistics South Africa. Census 2001: Investigation into appropriate definitions of urban and rural areas for South Africa: Discussion document. http://www.statssa.gov.za (accessed 7 January 2005).

Stearns 2000

Stearns JA, Stearns MA. Graduate medical education for rural physicians: curriculum and retention. *The Journal of Rural Health* 2000;**16**(3):273–7.

Strasser 2003

Strasser R. Rural health around the world: challenges and solutions. *Family Practice* 2003;**20**(4):457–63.

Stratton 1995

Stratton TD, Dunkin JW, Juhl N. Redefining the nursing shortage: a rural perspective. *Nursing Outlook* 1995;**43**(2):71–7.

Tavernier 2003

Tavernier LA, Connor PD, Gates D, Wan JY. Does exposure to medically underserved areas during training influence eventual choice of practice location?. *Medical Education* 2003;**37**(4): 299–304.

van Rensburg 1999

van Rensburg D, van Rensburg N. Distribution of human resources. In: South African Health Review 1999, 201–32.

Waston 2000

Western J, Makkai T, McMillan J, Dwan K. Issues for rural practice in Australia. General Practice Evaluation Program. Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care 2000; Vol. Report no. 535.

WHR 2003

World Health Organisation. The World Health Report 2003 - shaping the future. World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland; http://www.who.org 2003 (accessed 7 January 2005).

WHR 2006

World Health Organisation. The World Health Report 2006 - working together for health. World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland; http://www.who.org (accessed 18 August 2008).

Woloschuk 2002

Woloschuk W, Tarrant M. Does a rural education experience influence students' likelihood of rural practice? Impact of Student background and gender. *Medical Education* 2002;**36**:241–7.

Woloschuk 2004

Woloschuk W, Tarrant M. Do students from rural backgrounds engage in rural family practice more than their urban peers?. *Medical Education* 2004;**38**:259–61.

^{*} Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Erney 1991	Inappropriate outcome: "choice of family practice residency" is not a direct indication of practice location
Harris 1982	Inappropriate outcome: "choice of family practice residency" is not a direct indication of practice location
Herold 1993	Inappropriate outcome: "choice of family practice residency" is not a direct indication of practice location
Mennin 1996	Students self-selected the intervention, thus firm conclusions regarding the influence of the intervention, per se, cannot be made
Rosenblatt 1979	Inappropriate outcome: "choice of family practice residency" is not a direct indication of practice location
Wilkinson 2004	Inappropriate outcome: medical student's choice of internship site. Choice of rural internship does not necessarily predict rural practice after graduation

DATA AND ANALYSES

This review has no analyses.

APPENDICES

Appendix I. Detailed search strategy: Medline

Search Terms

- 1. exp Health Personnel/
- 2. Health Manpower/
- 3. manpower.fs.
- 4. nurse?.tw.
- 5. (midwives or mid wives or midwifeor mid wife).tw.
- 6. (physician? or doctor? or practitioner?).tw.
- 7. dentist?.tw.
- 8. dental staff.tw.
- 9. pharmacist\$.tw.
- 10. dietician?.tw.
- 11. nutritionist?.tw.
- 12. psychologist?.tw.
- 13. occupational therapist?.tw.
- 14. (physiotherapist? or physical therapist?).tw.
- 15. speech therapist?.tw.
- 16. language therapist?.tw.
- 17. (logopaedist?) or logopedist?).tw.
- 18. speech pathologist?.tw.
- 19. language pathologist?.tw.
- 20. audiologist?.tw.
- 21. internist?.tw.
- 22. (paediatrician?) or pediatrician?).tw.
- 23. opthalmologist?.tw.
- 24. surgeon?.tw.
- 25. (radiographer? or radiologist?).tw.
- 26. optometrist?.tw.
- 27. ((health or healthcare or health care) adj (personnel or worker? or staff or professional? or provider?)).tw.
- 28. (medical adj (personnel or staff or professional? or worker?)).tw.
- 29. (nurs\$ adj (personnel or staff or professional? or worker?)).tw.
- 30. (health manpower or human resources or workforce?).tw.
- 31. exp Personnel Management/
- 32. Resource Allocation/
- 33. (((client adj1 staff) or (patient adj1 staff) or (patient adj1 nurse)) adj ratio).tw.
- 34. ((physician? or doctor? or practitioner? or nurse? or personnel or staff or professional?) adj2 shortage).tw.
- 35. understaff\$.tw.
- 36. ((personnel or staff) adj (recruitment or retain\$ or retention or turnover or turn over)).tw.

- 37. or/1-36
- 38. Rural Health Services/
- 39. Medically Underserved Area/
- 40. Hospitals, Rural/
- 41. ((rural or remote or nonmetropolitan) adj (health service? or health care or healthcare or medical service? or medical care)).tw.
- 42. ((rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or underserved or under served or deprived) adj (communit\$ or area? or region? or province?)).tw.
- 43. (rural adj (setting? or clinic? or hospital?)).tw.
- 44. shortage area?.tw.
- 45. (inequitable distribut\$ or maldistribut\$).tw.
- 46. or/38-45
- 47. 37 and 46
- 48. Rural Health/ma [Manpower]
- 49. 47 or 48
- 50. randomised controlled trial.pt.
- 51. controlled clinical trial.pt.
- 52. random\$.tw.
- 53. (time adj series).tw.
- 54. controlled before.tw.
- 55. or/50-54
- 56. (pre test or pretest or (posttest or post test)).tw.
- 57. control\$.tw.
- 58. 56 and 57
- 59. 55 or 58
- 60. Animals/
- 61. Humans/
- 62. 60 not (60 and 61)
- 63. 59 not 62
- 64. 49 and 63

Appendix 2. Detailed search strategy: EMBASE

Search Terms

- 1. exp Health Care Personnel/
- 2. Psychologist/
- 3. nurse?.tw.
- 4. (midwives or mid wives or midvife or mid wife).tw.
- 5. (physician? or doctor? or practitioner?).tw.
- 6. dentist?.tw.
- 7. dental staff.tw.
- 8. pharmacist\$.tw.
- 9. dietician?.tw.
- 10. nutritionist?.tw.
- 11. psychologist?.tw.
- 12. occupational therapist?.tw.

- 13. (physiotherapist? or physical therapist?).tw.
- 14. speech therapist?.tw.
- 15. language therapist?.tw.
- 16. (logopaedist? or logopedist?).tw.
- 17. speech pathologist?.tw.
- 18. language pathologist?.tw.
- 19. audiologist?.tw.
- 20. internist?.tw.
- 21. (paediatrician? or pediatrician?).tw.
- 22. opthalmologist?.tw.
- 23. surgeon?.tw.
- 24. (radiographer? or radiologist?).tw.
- 25. optometrist?.tw.
- 26. ((health or healthcare or health care) adj (personnel or worker? or staff or professional? or provider?)).tw.
- 27. (medical adj (personnel or staff or professional? or worker?)).tw.
- 28. (nurs\$ adj (personnel or staff or professional? or worker?)).tw.
- 29. (health manpower or human resources or workforce?).tw.
- 30. Manpower Planning/
- 31. Health Care Personnel Management/
- 32. Hospital Personnel Management/
- 33. Resource Allocation/
- 34. Nurse Patient Ratio/
- 35. Personnel Shortage/
- 36. Nursing Shortage/
- 37. (((client adj1 staff) or (patient adj1 staff) or (patient adj1 nurse)) adj ratio).tw.
- 38. ((physician? or doctor? or practitioner? or nurse? or personnel or staff or professional?) adj2 shortage).tw.
- 39. understaff\$.tw.
- 40. ((personnel or staff) adj (recruitment or retain\$ or retention or turnover or turn over)).tw.
- 41. or/1-40
- 42. Rural Health Care/
- 43. Rural Area/
- 44. ((rural or remote or nonmetropolitan) adj (health service? or health care or healthcare or medical service? or medical care)).tw.
- 45. ((rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or underserved or under served or deprived) adj (communit\$ or area? or region? or province?)), rw.
- 46. (rural adj (setting? or clinic? or hospital?)).tw.
- 47. shortage area?.tw.
- 48. (inequitable distribut\$ or maldistribut\$).tw.
- 49. or/42-48
- 50. 41 and 49
- 51. Randomized Controlled Trial/
- 52. random\$.tw.
- 53. Time Series Analysis/
- 54. (time adj series).tw.
- 55. controlled before.tw.
- 56. or/51-55
- 57. (pre test or pretest or post test or posttest).tw.
- 58. control\$.tw.
- 59. 57 and 58
- 60. 56 or 59

- 61. Nonhuman/
- 62. 60 not 61
- 63. 50 and 62

Appendix 3. Detailed search strategy: LILACS

Search Terms

physician\$ or doctor\$ or practitioner\$ or nurse\$ or midwife or midwives or dentist\$ or dental staff or pharmacist\$ or dietician\$ or nutritionist\$ or psychologist\$ or occupational

therapist\$ or physiotherapist\$ or physical therapist\$ or speech therapist\$ or language therapist\$ or logopaedist\$ or speech pathologist\$ or language pathologist\$ or audiologist\$ or internist\$ or paediatrician\$ or pediatrician\$ or opthalmologist\$ or surgeon\$ or radiographer\$ or radiologist\$ or optometrist\$ or human resources or workforce\$ or manpower or health personnel or healthcare personnel or health care personnel or medical personnel or medical care personnel or health worker\$ or healthcare worker\$ or

health care worker\$ or medical worker\$ or medical care worker\$ or health staff or health care staff or health care staff or medical staff or medical care staff or health professional\$ or health care professional\$ or medical professional\$ or medical care professional\$ or health provider\$ or health care provider\$ or health care provider\$ or medical provider\$ or medical care provider\$ or personnel shortage or understaff\$ or resource allocation [Words]

AND

rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or non metropolitan or underserved or under served or deprived or shortage area [Words]

((Pt RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OR Pt CONTROLLED CLINICAL TRIAL OR Mh RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS OR Mh RANDOM ALLOCATION OR Mh DOUBLE-BLIND METHOD OR Mh SINGLE-BLIND METHOD OR Pt MULTICENTER STUDY) OR ((tw ensaio or tw ensayo or tw trial) and (tw azar or tw acaso or tw placebo or tw control\$ or tw aleat\$ or tw random\$ or (tw duplo and tw cego) or (tw doble and tw ciego) or (tw double and tw blind)) and tw clinic\$) AND NOT ((CT ANIMALS OR MH ANIMALS OR CT RABBITS OR CT MICE OR MH RATS OR MH PRIMATES OR MH DOGS OR MH RABBITS OR MH SWINE) AND NOT (CT HUMAN AND CT ANIMALS)) or (time series or controlled before or pre test or post test or post test or posttest) [Words]

Appendix 4. Detailed search strategy: EPOC register

Search Terms

Basic search. All terms/phrases are connected with OR

health professionals underserved

health professionals rural

health professionals shortage areas

healthcare professionals underserved

healthcare professionals rural

healthcare professionals shortage areas

health personnel underserved

health personnel rural

health personnel shortage areas healthcare personnel underserved healthcare personnel rural healthcare personnel shortage areas staff underserved staff rural staff shortage areas nurses underserved nurses rural nurses shortage areas physicians underserved physicians rural physicians shortage areas manpower underserved manpower rural manpower shortage areas workforce underserved workforce rural workforce shortage areas personnel retain personnel retention personnel recruitment staff retain staff retention staff recruitment

Appendix 5. Detailed search strategy: CINAHL

Search Terms

- 1. exp Health Personnel/
- 2. exp Health Manpower/
- 3. nurse?.tw.
- 4. (midwives or mid wives or midvife or mid wife).tw.
- 5. (physician? or doctor? or practitioner?).tw.
- 6. dentist?.tw.
- 7. dental staff.tw.
- 8. pharmacist\$.tw.
- 9. dietician?.tw.
- 10. nutritionist?.tw.
- 11. psychologist?.tw.
- 12. occupational therapist?.tw.
- 13. (physiotherapist? or physical therapist?).tw.
- 14. speech therapist?.tw.
- 15. language therapist?.tw.
- 16. (logopaedist?) or logopedist?).tw.

- 17. speech pathologist?.tw.
- 18. language pathologist?.tw.
- 19. audiologist?.tw.
- 20. internist?.tw.
- 21. (paediatrician?) or pediatrician?).tw.
- 22. opthalmologist?.tw.
- 23. surgeon?.tw.
- 24. (radiographer? or radiologist?).tw.
- 25. optometrist?.tw.
- 26. ((health or healthcare or health care) adj (personnel or worker? or staff or professional? or provider?)).tw.
- 27. (medical adj (personnel or staff or professional? or worker?)).tw.
- 28. (nurs\$ adj (personnel or staff or professional? or worker?)).tw.
- 29. (health manpower or human resources or workforce?).tw.
- 30. exp Personnel Management/
- 31. Health Resource Allocation/
- 32. (((client adj1 staff) or (patient adj1 staff) or (patient adj1 nurse)) adj ratio).tw.
- 33. ((physician? or doctor? or practitioner? or nurse? or personnel or staff or professional?) adj2 shortage).tw.
- 34. understaff\$.tw.
- 35. ((personnel or staff) adj (recruitment or retain\$ or retention or turnover or turn over)).tw.
- 36. or/1-35
- 37. Rural Health Services/
- 38. Medically Underserved Area/
- 39. Rural Areas/
- 40. Hospitals, Rural/
- 41. Rural Health Centers/
- 42. ((rural or remote or nonmetropolitan) adj (health service? or health care or healthcare or medical service? or medical care)).tw.
- 43. ((rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or underserved or under served or deprived) adj (communit\$ or area? or region? or province?)).tw.
- 44. (rural adj (setting? or clinic? or hospital?)).tw.
- 45. shortage area?.tw.
- 46. (inequitable distribut\$ or maldistribut\$).tw.
- 47. or/37-46
- 48. 36 and 47
- 49. Rural Health Personnel/
- 50. Rural Health Nursing/
- 51. 49 or 50
- 52. 48 or 51
- 53. Clinical Trial/
- 54. (controlled adj (study or trial)).tw.
- 55. random\$.tw.
- 56. Comparative Studies/
- 57. exp pretest-posttest design/
- 58. exp quasi-experimental studies/
- 59. time series.tw.
- 60. controlled before.tw.
- 61. or/53-60
- 62. (pre test or pretest or post test or posttest).tw.
- 63. control\$.tw.
- 64. 62 and 63

65. 61 or 64 66. 52 and 65

Appendix 6. Detailed search strategy: CENTRAL

Search Terms

#1MeSH descriptor Health Personnel explode all trees

#2MeSH descriptor Health Manpower, this term only

#3Any MeSH descriptor with qualifier: MA

#4(nurse* or midwives or mid NEXT wives or midvife or mid NEXT wife or physician* or doctor* or practitioner* or dentist* or dental NEXT staff or pharmacist* or dietician* or nutritionist* or psychologist* or occupational NEXT therapist* or physiotherapist* or physical NEXT therapist* or speech NEXT therapist* or language NEXT therapist* or logopaed* or speech NEXT pathologist* or audiologist* or internist* or paediatrician* or pediatrician* or ophthalmologist* or surgeon* or radiographer* or radiologist* or optometrist*):ti or (nurse* or midwives or mid NEXT wives or midvife or mid NEXT wife or physician* or doctor* or practitioner* or dentist* or dental NEXT staff or pharmacist* or deitician* or nutritionist* or psychologist* or occupational NEXT therapist* or physical NEXT therapist* or speech NEXT therapist* or language NEXT therapist* or logopaed* or speech NEXT pathologist* or language NEXT pathologist* or audiologist* or internist* or paediatrician* or pediatrician* or ophthalmologist* or surgeon* or radiographer* or radiologist* or optometrist*):ab

#5(health or healthcare or health NEXT care) NEXT (personnel or worker* or staff or professional* or provider*):ti or (health or healthcare or health NEXT care) NEXT (personnel or worker* or staff or professional* or provider*):ab

#6(medical NEXT (personnel or staff or professional* or worker*)):ti or (medical NEXT (personnel or staff or professional* or worker*)):ab

#7(nurs* NEXT (personnel or staff or professional* or worker*)):ti or (nurs* NEXT (personnel or staff or professional* or worker*)):ab

#8(health NEXT manpower or human NEXT resources or workforce*):ti or (health NEXT manpower or human NEXT resources or workforce*):ab

#9MeSH descriptor Personnel Management explode all trees

#10MeSH descriptor Resource Allocation, this term only

#11((client NEAR/1 staff) or (patient NEAR/1 staff) or (patient NEAR/1 nurse)) NEXT ratio:ti or ((client NEAR/1 staff) or (patient NEAR/1 staff) or

#12(physician* or doctor* or practitioner* or nurse* or personnel or staff or professional*) NEAR/2 shortage:ti or (physician* or doctor* or practitioner* or nurse* or personnel or staff or professional*) NEAR/2 shortage:ab

#13(understaff or under NEXT staff*):ti or (understaff or under NEXT staff*):ab

#14(personnel or staff) NEXT (recruitment or retain* or retention or turnover or turn NEXT over):ti or (personnel or staff) NEXT (recruitment or retain* or retention or turnover or turn NEXT over):ab

#15MeSH descriptor Rural Health Services, this term only

#16MeSH descriptor Medically Underserved Area, this term only

#17MeSH descriptor Hospitals, Rural, this term only

#18MeSH descriptor Rural Health, this term only

#19(rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or non NEXT metropolitan) NEXT (health NEXT service* or health NEXT care or healthcare or medical NEXT service* or medical NEXT care):ti or (rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or non NEXT metropolitan) NEXT (health NEXT service* or health NEXT care or healthcare or medical NEXT service* or medical NEXT care):ab

#20(rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or non NEXT metropolitan or underserved or under NEXT served or deprived) NEXT (communit* or area* or region* or province*):ti or (rural or remote or nonmetropolitan or non NEXT metropolitan or underserved or under NEXT served or deprived) NEXT (communit* or area* or region* or province*):ab

#21(rural NEXT (setting* or clinic* or hospital*)):ti or (rural NEXT (setting* or clinic* or hospital*)):ab #22(shortage NEXT area*):ti or (shortage NEXT area*):ab #23(inequitable NEXT distribut* or maldistribut*):ti or (inequitable NEXT distribut* or maldistribut*):ab #24(#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14) #25(#15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 OR #23) #26(#24 AND #25)

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 17 September 2007.

Date	Event	Description
17 February 2009	Amended	Plain language summary modified.

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 2, 2005

Review first published: Issue 1, 2009

Date	Event	Description
12 November 2008	Amended	RevMan5 conversion

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Philemon Marindi, Sikhumbizo Mabunda, Helmuth Reuter and Jimmy Volmink assisted Liesl in preparing the review protocol and in searching for background information on the topic. Liesl, together with Phil and Sikhumbuzo screened search results for relevant articles and Jimmy Volmink was consulted in the case of disagreements arising from this process. Input from Helmuth Reuter, Ben Marais and Jimmy Volmink was integral in completing the review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None known.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- University of Cape Town, South Africa.
- University of Stellenbosch, South Africa.
- Medical Research Council, South Africa.

External sources

- Cochrane Public Health and Health Professionals Field, South Africa.
- Collaboration for Health Equity through Education and Research (CHEER), South Africa.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

*Health Manpower; *Medically Underserved Area; *Rural Health

MeSH check words

Humans